## PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Bernard & Joan Doherty

DOCKET NO.: 03-29778.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 13-25-315-012-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Bernard & Joan Doherty, the appellants, by attorney Michael Griffin of Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 95-year-old, three-story, six unit, multi-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 8,751 square feet of living area with six full bathrooms and a full-unfinished basement. The subject is located in West Chicago Township, Cook County.

The appellants, through counsel, submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this claim, the appellants submitted assessment data and descriptive information on four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The appellants also submitted a onepage brief, a photograph of the subject, Cook County Assessor's Internet Database sheets for the subject and the suggested comparables and a copy of the board of review's decision. appellants' documents, the four on the suggested comparables offered by the appellants consist of two-story or three-story, four or six unit, multi-family dwellings of masonry construction located within close proximity to the subject. Three of the comparables are located on the same street and within two blocks of the subject. The improvements range in size from 4,550 to 10,785 square feet of living area and range in age from 89 to 102 years. The comparables contain four or six full bathrooms and a full-unfinished basement. Two comparables contain a one-car or multi-car detached garage. The improvement assessments range from \$6.04 to \$6.99 per square foot of living

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds  $\underline{a}$  reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the  $\underline{Cook}$  County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 4,400 IMPR.: \$ 61,169 TOTAL: \$ 65,569

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/rfd5311

area. Based on the evidence submitted, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$70,099. The subject's improvement assessment is \$65,699 or \$7.51 per In support of the assessment the square foot of living area. board submitted property characteristic printouts and descriptive data on four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The suggested comparables are improved with two-story or threestory, two, three or five unit, multi-family dwellings of masonry construction located on the same street and block as the subject. The improvements range in size from 3,229 to 5,085 square feet of living area and range in age from 95 to 100 years. comparables contain from three to five full bathrooms and a finished or unfinished basement. Two comparables contain a twocar detached garage. The improvement assessments range from \$8.00 to \$11.76 per square foot of living area. Based on the evidence presented, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellants' argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review V. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellants have overcome this burden.

The Board finds the appellant's comparables one, two and three to be the most similar properties to the subject in the record. These three properties are similar to the subject in improvement size, amenities, age, number of units and location and have improvement assessments ranging from \$6.55 to \$6.99 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of \$7.51 falls above the range established by these The Board finds the remaining comparables less properties. similar to the subject in improvement size, number of units and/or design. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the the Board finds the subject's per square improvement assessment is not supported by the most similar properties contained in the record.

As a result of this analysis, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the appellant has adequately demonstrated that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law  $(735 \, \text{LCS} \, 5/3-101 \, \text{et seq.})$  and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 25, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A  $\overline{\text{PETITION}}$  AND  $\overline{\text{EVIDENCE}}$  WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.